U.S. Serial No.: 10/797,371

Filed: March 9, 2004

Page 13

REMARKS

CLAIMS

Claims 1, 3-13, 36-65, 73-74, 77-79 and 132-168 were pending and are subject to

restriction. Applicants amended herewith claims 39 and 57. Accordingly, claims 39-48,

and 57 are being examined.

Support for amended claim 39 can be found on page 2, lines 33-37; page 3, lines 1-23;

and originally-filed claims 3 and 40. The amendment to claim 57 merely removes the

dependencies to non-elected claims. Accordingly, these changes do not involve new

matter.

Entry of these amendments and the foregoing remarks in the file of the above-captioned

patent application is respectfully requested.

RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In the Office Action, the Office is requiring restriction under 35 U.S.C. §121 to one of the

following inventions:

Group I:

Claims 1-13, 73-74, 139-149 and 154-158, drawn to adipose-derived stem

cells and implants containing adipose-derived stem cells, classified in

Class 435, subclass 325.

Group II:

Claims 36, 37 and 57 as drawn to claim 36, drawn to a method to obtain

genetically-modified cell, classified in Class 435, subclass 455.

U.S. Serial No.: 10/797,371

Filed: March 9, 2004

Page 14

Group III: Claims 39-48, 57 as drawn to claim 39 and 160-162, drawn to a method of

differentiating a cell, classified in Class 435, subclass 377.

Group IV: Claims 49, 54 and 57 as drawn to claim 49, drawn to a method of

producing hormones, classified in Class 435, subclasses 325 and 405.

Group V: Claims 50 and 57 as drawn to claim 50, drawn to a method of promoting

wound closure, classified in Class 435, subclass 325.

Group VI: Claims 51-53 and 57 as drawn to claim 51, drawn to a method of

promoting neovascularization, classified in Class 435, subclass 325.

Group VII: Claims 55-57 as drawn to claim 55 and 163, drawn to a method of

conditioning culture medium, classified in Class 435, subclasses 325, 373

and 405.

Group VIII: Claims 58-59 and 164, drawn to conditioned culture medium, classified in

Class 435, subclass 405.

Group IX: Claims 60-65, drawn to a method of culturing a stem cell, classified in

Class 435, subclasses 325 and 405.

Group X: Claims 77-79 and 165-168, drawn to a kit for isolating stem cells from

adipose tissue, classified in Class 435, subclasses 325 and 405.

Group XI: Claims 132-138, drawn to a method of isolating and differentiating stem

cells from adipose tissue, classified in Class 435, subclasses 325 and 377.

U.S. Serial No.: 10/797,371

Filed: March 9, 2004

Page 15

Group XII: Claims 150-151, drawn to a method of inducing mesodermal tissue,

classified in Class 435, subclass 1.1.

Group XIII: Claim 152, drawn to a method of regenerating or repairing tissue,

classified in class 435, subclasses 1.1 and 325.

Group XIV: Claims 38, 57 as drawn to claim 38 and 159, drawn to a method of

delivering a transgene to an animal, classified in Class 435, subclasses 325

and 455.

ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE

Applicants hereby elect the invention of Group III with traverse.

Reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement is requested for the following reasons:

Applicants point out that under MPEP §803, there are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction, namely: (1) the invention must be independent and distinct; AND (2) there must be serious burden on the Examiner for restriction to be required.

Applicants respectfully contend that the second requirement of §803 has not been met.

The Patent Office has not demonstrated a serious burden for searching the art of Groups

I-XIV. The Examiner can perform a search on the entire application without serious

burden. Thus, search of the art with regard to the invention of Groups I-XIV would not

place an undue burden on the Examiner. Moreover, separate prosecution of these claims

would be unnecessarily duplicative and thus wasteful of Patent Office resources.

Therefore, under MPEP Section 803, the instant claims do not require restriction.

U.S. Serial No.: 10/797,371

Filed: March 9, 2004

Page 16

Applicants submit that claims of Groups I-XIV should properly be examined together for

the reasons discussed above. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner

reconsider and withdraw the Restriction Requirement as to these claims.

CONCLUSION

If a telephone interview would be of assistance in advancing the prosecution of the

subject application, Applicants' undersigned attorney invites the Examiner to telephone

her at the number provided below.

No fee, other than the fee for extension of time, is deemed necessary in connection with

the filing of this response. If any further fee is necessary, the Patent Office is authorized

to charge any additional fee to Deposit Account No. 50-0306.

Respectfully submitted,

Sarah B. Ad

Sarah B. Adriano

Registration No. 34,470

SaraLynn Mandel

Registration No. 31,853

Mandel & Adriano

55 So. Lake Ave., Suite 710

Pasadena, California 91101

626/395-7801

Customer No: 26,941